A Virtual Working Format for Thermomechanics

Paolo Podio-Guidugli Università di Roma TorVergata

Motivation

Hodiernal continuum mechanics is *multiscale* and *multiphysics*:

- *interdependent phenomena take place at different scales* in bodies regarded as an *interactive composition of material structures*;
- plurality of scales and material structures calls for *adjustments in the standard modeling format*: primarily, to lay down in a systematic manner the relevant balance and inbalance laws, making sure that no interaction is overlooked.

Plan

To present a format modeled after the *virtual working format*, using *thermomechanics as a paradigmatic example*.

The Standard Mechanical & Thermal Structures

- mechanical body structure based on
 - Virtual Working Principle

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \int_{\partial \mathcal{P}} \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v} \,, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}, \ \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B} \,,$$

implying force balance;

- thermal body structure based on
 - energy balance

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = -\mathbf{div}\,\mathbf{q} + r\,,$$

entropy imbalance

$$\dot{\eta} \ge -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{h} + s$$
, with $\mathbf{h} = \vartheta^{-1} \mathbf{q}$, $s = \vartheta^{-1} r$.

Body as a Composition of Mechanical & Thermal Structures. i. Kinetics

- kinetic variables are
 - mechanical displacement u, with

 $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\mathbf{u}} \equiv \mathbf{velocity},$

– thermal displacement α , with

 $\vartheta = \dot{\alpha} \equiv$ temperature,

defined over *space-time cylinder* $\mathcal{B} \times (0, T)$

- process $(x,t) \mapsto (\mathbf{u}(x,t), \alpha(x,t))$, with $(\mathbf{v}(x,t), \vartheta(x,t)) \equiv$ realizable velocity pair
- $(\delta \mathbf{u}(x,t), \delta \alpha(x,t)) \equiv \text{ virtual velocity pair}$

Thermal Displacement, a Few References

- M. von Laue, *Relativitätstheorie*, Vol. 1 Vieweg, Braunschweig (1921). (for von Laue, thermacy = *minus* thermal displacement)
- A.E. Green and P.M. Naghdi, Thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. J. Elasticity 31 (1993), 189-208.
- C. Dascalu and G.A. Maugin, The thermoelastic materialmomentum equation. J. Elasticity 39 (1995), 201-212.
- P. P-G and A. Tiero, Un formato tipo lavori virtuali per la termodinamica dei processi omogenei. Proc. XIV Congr. Naz. Meccanica Teor. Appl. (Como, Italy - October 1999).

Body as a Composition of Mechanical & Thermal Structures. ii. Dynamics

For \mathcal{P} a subbody of \mathcal{B} , and $I = (t_i, t_f)$ a subinterval of (0, T), dynamics specified by

(i) internal virtual working

$$\delta \mathcal{W}^{(i)} = \int_{\mathcal{P} \times I} (\mathbf{s} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{u} + h \, \delta \alpha + \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla \delta \alpha) \,,$$

where

- s and S \equiv 0-th and 1-st order mechanical interactions
- h and $h \equiv 0$ -th and 1-st order thermal interactions

Note

Treatments of mechanical and thermal entities should be kept *as parallel as possible*. But, *parallelism broken by*

• invariance requirements

in a galilean observer change,

$$\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{v}^+ = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{t}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha^+ = \alpha.$$

Thus, translational invariance of $\delta W^{(i)}$ implies a symmetrybreaking conclusion:

the 0th order stress s is null.

• habit

habitual entropy flux = minus the 1-st order thermal interaction h.

(ii) external virtual working

$$\delta \mathcal{W}^{(e)} = \int_{\mathcal{P} \times I} (\mathbf{d} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\delta \mathbf{u}} + s \,\delta \alpha + \eta \,\dot{\delta \alpha}) \\ + \int_{\partial \mathcal{P} \times I} (\mathbf{c} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} + c \,\delta \alpha) + \int_{\mathcal{P} \times \partial I} \left[\mathbf{p} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} + \eta \,\delta \alpha \right]$$

- (d, c) and (s, c) ≡ distance and contact <u>interactions</u>, mechanical and thermal;
- p ≡ momentum, Sn ≡ momentum flux;
 d ≡ momentum source ≡ (noninertial distance force);
- $\eta \equiv$ entropy, $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} \equiv$ entropy flux, $s \equiv$ entropy source;
- $c \equiv contact force, c \equiv contact heating;$
- $\mathbf{p}_f, \ldots, \eta_i \equiv \text{external actions}$, mechanical & thermal, at time boundaries of $\mathcal{P} \times I$:

$$\int_{\partial I} \left[\left[\mathbf{p} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} + \eta \, \delta \alpha \right] \right] := \mathbf{p}_f(x) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}(x, t_f) + \eta_f(x) \, \delta \alpha(x, t_f) \\ + \mathbf{p}_i(x) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}(x, t_i) + \eta_i(x) \, \delta \alpha(x, t_i) \, .$$

The Virtual Working Axiom

(VW) The internal and the external working should be equal:

$$\delta \mathcal{W}^{(i)} = \delta \mathcal{W}^{(e)},$$

for each virtual velocity pair defined over the closure of any subcylinder $\mathcal{P} \times I$ of $\mathcal{B} \times (0, T)$ and such as to vanish at the end of I itself.

Notes

- Just as α called *thermal displacement* to allude to role analogy with mechanical displacement u, why not to call η *thermal momentum*, by analogy with the mechanical momentum p?
- Just as **p** thought of as measuring *reluctance to quiet*, why not to think of η as measuring *reluctance to order*?

Implications of VW Axiom

• momentum and entropy balances:

 $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{Div} \, \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{d}, \quad \dot{\eta} = \mathbf{Div} \, \mathbf{h} - h + s \quad \mathbf{in} \ \mathcal{P} \times I$

• initial conditions:

$$\mathbf{p}(x,t_i) = \mathbf{p}_i(x), \quad \eta(x,t_i) = \eta_i(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{P}$$

• boundary conditions on $\partial \mathcal{P} \times I$:

 $Sn = c \equiv balance of contact forces: <math>c + S(-n) = 0;$

 $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = c \equiv \text{continuity cnd. on contact heating: } c = (-\mathbf{h}) \cdot (-\mathbf{n})$ establishing $-\mathbf{h}$ as a measure of specific *heat influx* at a point of an oriented surface of normal \mathbf{n} .

Conservation of Internal Action. Preliminaries

An integral consequence of momentum and entropy balances is:

$$W(\mathcal{P}) + H(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta) \Big) + \int_{\mathcal{P}} stuff_{\mathcal{P}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v$$

where

• noninertial working

$$W(\mathcal{P}) := \int_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \int_{\partial \mathcal{P}} \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v},$$

• heating

$$H(\mathcal{P}) := \int_{\mathcal{P}} s \,\vartheta + \int_{\partial \mathcal{P}} c \,\vartheta \,,$$

• internal action

$$\Phi(\mathcal{P}) := \int_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi, \qquad \text{with}$$

stuff = $\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}} + h \vartheta + \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla \vartheta - \eta \dot{\vartheta} := \dot{\varphi}.$

The Axiom of Conservation of Internal Action

(CIA) In a cycle, the noninertial working plus the heating supplied to or extracted from \mathcal{P} sum to null:

$$\oint \left(W(\mathcal{P}) + H(\mathcal{P}) \right) = 0.$$

Equivalently,

(CIA)' In a cycle, the <u>internal action</u> is conserved:

 $\oint \Phi(\mathcal{P}) = 0 \,.$

Implications of CIA Axiom. The 1st Law

For $\tau \equiv$ specific *total energy*:

$$\tau := \varphi + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \,\vartheta, \quad T(\mathcal{P}) := \int_{\mathcal{P}} \tau,$$

we have:

 $\dot{T}(\mathcal{P}) = W(\mathcal{P}) + H(\mathcal{P}), \text{ the } \underline{\text{First Law}} \text{ of TD}.$

To see this,

• set *entropy inflow* (-h, s) proportional to *energy inflow* (-q, r) through *coldness*:

$$\mathbf{h} = \vartheta^{-1} \mathbf{q}, \ s = \vartheta^{-1} r;$$

• accept standard notion of specific *kinetic energy* κ:

$$\kappa := \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad \text{with} \ \dot{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}}, \ (\text{so that} \ \dot{\kappa} + (-\dot{\mathbf{p}}) \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0).$$

• set

$$\varepsilon := \tau - \kappa, \quad \varphi := \psi - \kappa,$$

and interpret

 $-\varepsilon \equiv$ specific *internal energy*

 $-\psi \equiv \text{specific Helmholtz free energy} = \varepsilon - \eta \vartheta$,

whence the interpretations for both the *total energy* τ and the *internal action* φ .

The Dissipation Axiom

(D) Whatever the process $(x,t) \mapsto (\mathbf{u}(x,t), \alpha(x,t)),$ $h \dot{\alpha} \leq 0$

over the space-time cylinder $\mathcal{B}\times(0,T)$.

Implications of D Axiom. The 2nd Law

• Main implication is the generalized *dissipation inequality*:

$$\psi \leq -\eta \, artheta + \mathbf{h} \cdot
abla artheta + \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{S} \cdot
abla \mathbf{v}$$
 ,

• If $\vartheta \ge 0$ (an unnecessary assumption so far), then entropy balance & D axiom imply:

 $\dot{\eta} \ge \operatorname{div} \mathbf{h} + s$ (*entropy inbalance* \equiv the <u>Second Law</u> of TD).

• Standard dissipation inequality & entropy imbalance follow for

$$\mathbf{h} = -\vartheta^{-1}\mathbf{q}, \quad s = \vartheta^{-1}r; \quad \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0}.$$