

Lectures on

DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY AND TOPOLOGY

V2

Prof. Mauro Spura, UCSC, Brescia

Lecture XIII

EXTERIOR DIFFERENTIAL

Exterior differential
 d commutes with f^*
 The de Rham complex (hint)

* Exterior differential

$$\Lambda^k = \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (\text{or } \Lambda^k(U) \quad U \subset \mathbb{R}^n)$$

open

\uparrow
 k -forms on U

Let us define $d: \Lambda^k \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}$

(exterior differential)

via the position - if $\omega = a_I dx^I$

$$d(a_I dx^I) := da_I \wedge dx^I$$

Λ^0

0

in particular $d(dx^I) = d(1 \cdot dx^I) = d1 \wedge dx^I = 0$

example: $\omega = dx \wedge dz + \sin z \, dx \wedge dy$

$$d\omega = d(dx \wedge dz) + d(\sin z) \wedge dx \wedge dy$$

$$= 0 + \cos z \, dz \wedge dx \wedge dy = \cos z \cdot dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

Properties of d

(a) $d(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = d\omega_1 + d\omega_2$

(valid if $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Lambda^k$, postulated in general)

(b) $d(\omega \wedge \varphi) = d\omega \wedge \varphi + (-1)^k \omega \wedge d\varphi$

\uparrow
 Λ^k

\uparrow
 Λ

grassmann

$k = \text{deg } \omega$

notice this

(antiderivation property)

generalizes Leibniz rule

$$d(fg) = df \cdot g + f \, dg$$


Let us prove it: let $\omega = a_I dx^I$ n -form
 $\varphi = b_J dx^J$ d -form

Then

↓ sum over I, J

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(\omega \wedge \varphi) &= d(a_I b_J dx^I \wedge dx^J) = \\
 &= d(a_I b_J) \wedge dx^I \wedge dx^J = \underbrace{(da_I b_J + a_I db_J)}_{\text{Leibniz}} dx^I \wedge dx^J \\
 &= b_J \underbrace{da_I}_{d\omega} \wedge dx^I \wedge dx^J + a_I \underbrace{db_J}_{\text{can be brought here by means of } n \text{ switches yielding a } (-1)^n \text{ factor}} \wedge dx^I \wedge dx^J \\
 &= d\omega \wedge \varphi + (-1)^n \omega \wedge d\varphi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= d\omega \wedge \varphi + (-1)^n \omega \wedge d\varphi$$

(c)  $d(d\omega) = 0$
 Most important \wedge

namely $d^2 = 0$

Proof. If $f \in \Lambda^0$, then

$$d(df) = d\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i\right) = d\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\right) \wedge dx^i =$$

$$= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^i. \text{ But, in view of the Schwarz lemma}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^j \partial x^i}, \text{ so, by virtue of skew-symmetry,}$$

$$d(df) = d^2 f = 0$$

Also notice, as a consistency check

$$\begin{aligned}
 db_J \wedge dx^I &= \\
 (-1)^{n+1} dx^I \wedge db_J &= \\
 (-1)^n dx^I \wedge db_J &
 \end{aligned}$$

and $f \wedge \omega = (-1)^{0 \cdot n} \omega \wedge f$

$$\wedge^0 \wedge^n = \wedge^n$$

and actually $f \wedge \omega = \omega \wedge f = f \omega$

Now take $\omega = \sum_I a_I dx^I \in \Lambda^k$

↖ we use summation symbol

In order to check that $d^2\omega = 0$, it is enough to verify it for a monomial $a_I dx^I$

↖ No summation

Then

$$d(d(a_I dx^I)) = d(da_I \wedge dx^I) = \underbrace{d^2 a_I}_{=0} \wedge dx^I$$

monomial

$$\underbrace{da_I}_{\text{notice this}} \wedge \underbrace{d(dx^I)}_{=0} = 0 \quad \square$$

(d) functoriality: $d(f^*\omega) = f^*d\omega$

⚠ pull-back commutes with d

Pf. First check that $f^*dg = d(f^*g)$

$$f^*dg = f^*\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y^i} dy^i\right) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j} dx^j$$

↖ Einstein

$f: y = y(x)$

$$\stackrel{\text{(chain rule)}}{=} \frac{\partial (g \circ f)}{\partial x^j} dx^j = d(\underbrace{g \circ f}_{f^*g}) = d(f^*g)$$

Now let $\varphi = a_I dy^I$ $f: y = y(x)$

← Einstein again

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(f^* \varphi) &= d(f^*(a_I) f^*(dy^I)) \\
 &= df^*(a_I) \wedge f^*(dy^I) + \underbrace{f^*(a_I) \wedge d(f^*(dy^I))}_{\text{but this is zero!}} \\
 &= (\text{by the previous property}) f^*(da_I) \wedge f^*(dy^I) \\
 &= f^*(da_I \wedge dy^I) = f^*(d\varphi) \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

$d f^*(dy^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{i_k})$
 $= d(f^* dy^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f^* dy^{i_k})$
 $= d(f^* dy^{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge f^* dy^{i_k} + \dots$
 $\dots = 0$ since $d^2 = 0$
 $dy^{i_j}(x)$

★ Important examples In \mathbb{R}^3 $d^2 = 0$ encapsulates

The properties

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{curl grad } \varphi &= 0 \\
 \text{div curl } \underline{F} &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Caution!

$\varphi \mapsto d\varphi = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} dz$

z-component of curl \underline{A}

$\underline{A} \mapsto A_1 dx + A_2 dy + A_3 dz \xrightarrow{d} (\frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial y}) dx \wedge dy + \dots$
Similar terms

$\underline{F} \mapsto F_1 dy \wedge dz + F_2 dz \wedge dx + F_3 dx \wedge dy$

$\xrightarrow{d} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + \dots$ Similar terms

div \underline{F}

$= \dots = (\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial z}) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$

$\Lambda^0 \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^1 \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^3 \xrightarrow{d} \dots$

\mathbb{Z}^k : closed k -forms: $d\omega = 0$

B^k : exact k -forms: $\omega = da$, $a \in \Lambda^{k-1}$

★ de Rham complex

$B^k \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^k$

in view of $d^2 = 0$

$H^k = \mathbb{Z}^k / B^k$

k -de Rham cohomology group

The Poincaré lemma tells us that

$$H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & k=0 \\ \{0\} & k=1, \dots, n \end{cases} \quad \text{+ easy to prove!}$$

that is, any closed k -form, for $k \geq 1$ is exact.

*** de Rham cohomology groups store topological information about a manifold M

(see second part of the course and M.S. notes

"Topologia e geometria differenziale" (Topogeo))